

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,861	12/12/2001	Neil S. Cutshall	240083.514	2603
500	7590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			DESAI, RITA J	
701 FIFTH A	AVE			
SUITE 6300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1625	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Application No.			
Advisory Action	10/015,861	CUTSHALL ET AL.		
	Examin r Rita J. Desai	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.		
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avertinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment whicl	h places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	• •	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-11,13-16 and 18-30</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:		Den		
	'	Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner		

Art Unit: 1625

Application/Control Number: 10/015,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Addendum to the Advisory

The amendment filed 10/14/03 does not put the claims in condition for allowance.

Applicants IDS filed after the final rejection has not been considered.

Applicants proviso introduces new matter situation, since applicants in their specification do not

originally disclose just that group. To overcome this applicants would have to delete a genus

provided they have support for the genus.

The claims 1, 4-11,13-16,18-30 are still rejected.

The withdrawn of the 102b over Mirek et al is on claims 1, 4 and 13 since applicants have

deleted claim 2.

The claims as filed do not place the case in condition for allowance is not being entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 703-305-1868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Wern

Rita J. Desai **Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1625

Application/Control Number: 10/015,861 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

R..D.

October 30, 2003